ORIGINAL



A CORPORATION COMMISSION BEFORE THE ARIZON 1 **COMMMISSIONERS** 2 RECEIVED 2011 MAR 17 P 2: 03 GARY PIERCE, Chairman 3 MAR 1 5 2011 **BRENDA BURNS** AI CORP COMMISSION 4 PAUL NEWMAN DOCKET CONTROL SANDRA D. KENNEDY **BOB STUMP** 5 6) DOCKET NO. E-01933A-10-0340 VIKTOR PETER POLIVKA, 7 ADENDDUM TO **COMPLAINANT** RESPONSE TO MOTION 8 TO CONTINUE FORM vs. **TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER** 9 **COMPANY** TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY, 10 RESPONDENT. 11 Hereby Viktor Peter Polivka, Complainant respectfully states that the original Motion to 12 Continue the scheduled Hearing on 04/05/2011 was filed pre maturely. Mr. Marc Romito's 13 availability on 04/05/2011 is not relevant The next TEP witness indeed has the right to present 14 his testimony, Complainant made an error by answering this untimely Motion to Continue. 15 The record indicates the hearing of 03/03/2011 indeed was continued due to a time restraint 16 17 5:00PM, interruption-- end of the day-- while he was cross examining Mr. Christopher D. Lindsey PE, was not completed, thus continued! Complainant still has many questions for the 18 present witness Mr. Chris D. Lindsey PE on 04/05/2011, which more than likely will fill the 19 time slot available on 04/05/2011, and if not, Mr. Edward Mansfield may be used his time. 20 Therefore, since Complainant needs to finish the present cross examination first. 21 before TEP is allowed to call the next witness, Mr. Ramito turn has not yet come, unless TEP 22 indeed has a Cristal Ball approved by ACC to foretell the future, there is no need to continue 23 the scheduled hearing on 04/05/2011, so Complainant may continue the cross examination as 24 25 per procedural rules in the State of Arizona. Arizona Gerporation Commission RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 13th day of March 2011 Viktor Peter Polivka, Complainant ProSe MAR II 7 2011

DOCKETED BY